

# Performance of a Venturi Scrubber in the removal of fine powder from a confined gas stream

M.A. Martins Costa<sup>1</sup>, A.P. Ribeiro<sup>1</sup>, E.G. Tognetti<sup>2</sup>,  
M.L. Aguiar<sup>3</sup>, J.A.S. Gonçalves<sup>3</sup>, J.R. Coury<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Doctoral student – DEQ/UFSCar

<sup>2</sup>Undergraduate student – DEQ/UFSCar

<sup>3</sup>Professor – DEQ/UFSCar

Caixa Postal 676 – 13.565-905 São Carlos – SP – Brazil

**ABSTRACT** – Experimental results on the performance of a laboratory scale rectangular Venturi scrubber in the removal of fine mineral particles from a confined air stream are presented, and a new correlation is proposed and evaluated. The scrubber was operated with air velocities in the throat varying from 58 m/s to 75 m/s and liquid flow rates varying from 280 ml/min to 900 ml/min. Liquid was injected as a jet emerging from a 1.0 mm orifice at the throat. Results for dust collection grade efficiency varied from 87% to 98% for particles from 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  to 2.0  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Keywords:** Venturi scrubber, collection efficiency, gas cleaning, pollution control.

---

\* Corresponding author. Email: [jcoury@power.ufscar.br](mailto:jcoury@power.ufscar.br); Phone: +55 16 260-8264; Fax: +55 16 260-8266.

## INTRODUCTION

Venturi scrubbers are widely used equipments for simultaneous separation of fine particulate matter and toxic gases from industrial gaseous effluents. Venturi scrubbers find application in many industries such as iron and steel foundries, metallurgic industries, cement production, ceramic industries and others. They are usually compact equipments of simple construction, and can handle well hot gases and sticky or inflammable particles. Their main disadvantage is the power required for operation (CALVERT, 1977).

A typical Venturi scrubber is shown in Figure 1. It consists of a convergence, a throat, and a diffuser. The gas is accelerated in the convergence to reach a velocity between 45 m/s to 120 m/s in the throat. In the diffuser the gas is decelerated allowing some pressure recovery. Liquid is most often introduced as jets through orifices at the beginning of the throat. The high energy of the gas promotes the atomization of the jets into a large number of small droplets.

The collection of particulate matter occurs due to collisions between particles and droplets and it is a complex function of scrubber geometry, gas and liquid flow rates, jet penetration, dust size, droplet size distribution, droplet dispersion in the throat and fraction of liquid flowing as a film on the walls of the equipment (TAHERI and SHEIH, 1975; OLIVEIRA and CORY, 1996; VISWANATHAN, 1997).

The purpose of the present study is to investigate experimentally the performance of a laboratory scale Venturi scrubber in the collection of fine powder, as a function of gas and liquid flow rates, and to propose a correlation for the observed data.

## EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT

The rig used in this work is shown in Figures 2 and 3. The dimensions of the rectangular Venturi scrubber, mounted horizontally, are shown in Table 1. Liquid was injected in the throat through a single 1 mm orifice. A cyclone for liquid-gas separation, a blower and a dust generation system were also part of the rig.

The dust used was a mineral rock, insoluble in water, with density of 3030 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and mass mean diameter of 5.8 μm. The aerosol sampling system consisted of a probe, a Millipore filter, a vacuum pump and a flow meter. The sampling was isokinetic. The two sampling points were located before the scrubber and after the cyclone, as shown in Figure 2. The sampled dust was weighted and analyzed for size distribution with a *Malvern Mastersize* equipment, which uses laser diffraction for particle sizing. The global and grade efficiencies were determined by Equations 1 and 2 respectively.

$$\eta_G = 1 - \frac{\dot{m}_{out}}{\dot{m}_{in}} \quad (1)$$

$$\eta(d_p) = 1 - \frac{\dot{m}_{out} f_{out}(d_p)}{\dot{m}_{in} f_{in}(d_p)} \quad (2)$$

where  $\dot{m}$  is the total dust mass flow rate,  $f(d_p)$  is the fraction of particles of size  $d_p$  in the dust and the subscripts *in* and *out* refer to conditions at the inlet and outlet of the Venturi, respectively.

The variables studied were the throat gas velocity (58.3, 66.6 and 74.9 m/s), liquid flow rate (286, 586 and 886 ml/min) and throat length (63, 90 and 117 mm).

Table 1 – Venturi scrubber dimensions

<b>Dimension</b>	<b>Symbol</b>	<b>Length (mm)</b>
Convergence length	y	280
Diffuser length	Z	63, 90 or 117
Throat length	Lg	99
Throat width	W	23
Throat height	A	35

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Grade efficiencies results are shown in Figures 4 to 7. It can be observed the existence of a minimum in the grade efficiency curves, which occurs for particles of approximately 0.3  $\mu\text{m}$ . Particles either greater or smaller than that are collected more efficiently. This behavior is probably due to the presence of two collection mechanisms: inertial impaction, responsible for the collection of larger particles, and a diffusion mechanism acting primarily on the smaller particles.

The effect of the liquid flow rate can be seen in Figure 4. In general, increasing the amount of liquid increases the efficiency. However, a combination of high liquid usage with small orifices can lead to excessive jet penetration. In this situation, the jet will atomize near the opposite wall facilitating droplet deposition and thus decreasing the amount of liquid available as droplets.

The effect of the throat gas velocity is illustrated in Figure 5. An increase in gas velocity is normally accompanied by an increase in collection efficiency. However, this increase is not

linear, and beyond a certain gas velocity the gain in efficiency is small and not justified in view of the higher pressure drop and higher energy required for the operation.

A new correlation (Equation 3) has been proposed to take into account the trends discussed above.

$$\eta(d_p) = 1 - \exp \left[ -51.9 \left( \frac{Q_l (1 - F_f) \rho_l D_d}{A_{th} \mu_g} \right)^{0.19} \left( \psi^{0.169} + 2813 \text{Pe}^{-0.581} \right) \right] \quad (3)$$

where  $Q_l$  and  $\rho_l$  are the liquid flow rate and density,  $D_d$  is the droplet diameter, calculated according to BOLL *et al.* (1974),  $F_f$  is the fraction of liquid flowing as film, calculated according to GONÇALVES *et al.* (2000),  $A_{th}$  is the throat cross sectional area,  $\mu_g$  is the gas viscosity,  $\psi$  is the inertial impaction parameter and Pe is the Peclet number. This correlation assumes that there are two collection mechanisms operating, the inertial mechanism, represented by the parameter  $\psi$  and the diffusion mechanism, represented by the Pe number, given respectively by

$$\psi = \frac{\rho_p d_p^2 V_g C}{18 \mu_g D_d} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Pe} = \frac{V_g D_d 3\pi \mu_g d_p}{K_B T C} \quad (5)$$

where  $d_p$  is the particle diameter,  $K_B$  is the Boltzmann Constant ( $1,38 \times 10^{-23}$  J/K),  $T$  is the temperature (K), and C is the Cunningham coefficient.

Figure 8, which includes all the data from the present experiments, show that the values predicted by the new correlation are within 5% of the experimental data.

## CONCLUSIONS

From the above, it may be concluded that:

- Collection efficiency increases with liquid usage up to a certain point, when excessive jet penetration may be responsible for a rapid increase in film fraction, thus actually diminishing the effective amount of liquid available as droplets.
- Collection efficiency increases with throat gas velocity.
- Grade efficiency curves exhibit a minimum for particles of about 0.3  $\mu\text{m}$ , indicating that two collection mechanisms may be operating, one predominant for greater particles, and another for smaller particles.
- The new proposed correlation predicted the experimental values within 5%.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** – The authors are grateful to PRONEX, FINEP, FAPESP and CNPq for the support given.

## REFERENCES

- BOLL, R.H.; FLAIS, L.R.; MAURER, P.W.; THOMPSON, W.L. Mean drop size in a full scale Venturi scrubber via transmissometer. *Journal of the Air Pollution Control Association*, v. 24, p. 934-938, 1974.
- CALVERT, S. How to choose a particulate scrubber. *Chemical Engineering*, p. 54-68, 19 August, 1977.

- GONÇALVES, J.A.S.; MARTINS COSTA, M.A.; FERNÁNDEZ ALONSO, D.; COURY, J.R. Estimativa da fração de filme em lavadores Venturi. *Congresso Brasileiro de Engenharia Química*, XIII, Águas de São Pedro, Brazil, September 24-27, 2000.
- OLIVEIRA, C.A.; COURY, J.R. Performance of a Venturi scrubber in the removal of inhalable particles from the air. *Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, v. 13, n. 1, p. 9-20, 1996.
- TAHERI, M.; SHEIH, C.M. Mathematical modeling of atomizing scrubbers. *AIChE Journal*, v. 21, p. 153-157, 1975.
- VISWANATHAN, S. Modeling of Venturi scrubber performance. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, v. 36, n. 10, p. 4308-4317, 1977.




Figure 1 – Schematic representation of a Venturi scrubber.






Figure 2 – The rig used in this work




Figure 3 – The rectangular Venturi Scrubber was constructed in modules, allowing the study of different throat lengths. The pressure taps and the liquid injection orifice are also shown in the drawing. Refer to Table 1 for the Venturi measurements.




Figure 4 – Effect of the liquid flow rate in the collection grade efficiency.  $V_g = 66.6$  m/s and

$$L_g = 90 \text{ mm.}$$




Figure 5 – Effect of the throat gas velocity on collection grade efficiency.  $Q_I = 586 \text{ ml/min}$   
and  $L_g = 63 \text{ mm}$




Figure 6 – Comparison between experimental and predicted collection grade efficiency.  $V_g =$

$74.9 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $Q_t = 586 \text{ ml/s}$ ,  $L_g = 90 \text{ mm}$ .




Figure 7 - Comparison between experimental and predicted collection grade efficiency.  $V_g =$

$66.6 \text{ m/s}$ ,  $Q_t = 586 \text{ ml/s}$ ,  $L_g = 63 \text{ mm}$ .




Figure 8 – Comparison between predicted and experimental collection grade efficiencies.