The Effect of Microstructure on Delayed Hydride Cracking Behavior of Zircaloy-4 Fuel Cladding—An International Atomic Energy Agency Coordinated Research Program

ABSTRACT: The rate of delayed hydride cracking (DHC) has been measured in Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding in several metallurgical conditions using the pin-loading tension technique. In light water reactor (LWR) cladding, under cold-worked and cold-worked and stress-relieved conditions, the cracking rate followed Arrhenius behavior up to about 280°C, but at higher temperatures the rate declined with no cracking above 300°C. Non-LWR cladding appeared to behave in the same manner. In LWR cladding in the recrystallized condition, the cracking rate was highly variable because it depended on $K_I$ within the test range up to 25 MPa m, whereas in the other LWR claddings, cracking rate was independent of $K_I$, indicating that $K_{IH}$ was below 11 MPa m. The main role of microstructure was to control the material strength; the cracking rate increased as the strength increased. Although all the claddings had a radial texture, it did not protect the cladding from DHC. The DHC fracture surface consisted of flat broken hydrides, often in arcs, but no striations were observed, except in one specimen subjected to thermal cycles.

KEYWORDS: Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding, delayed hydride cracking (DHC), pin-loading tension (PLT), microstructure, temperature dependence, $K_I$ dependence

Introduction

Like other hydride-forming metals, zirconium is susceptible to embrittlement by hydrogen when hydrides are formed [1]. The embrittlement takes two forms: Short-term loss of toughness and a stable time-dependent crack growth mechanism called delayed hydride cracking (DHC). During DHC, hydrides nucleate and grow slowly in the high stress region of a stress-raiser such as a crack tip. When they reach a critical condition, probably related to size, they fracture, the crack extends, and the process is repeated. The characteristics of the mechanism are the following.

- Time is often required between the imposition of stress and the start of cracking. This period is called the incubation time.
- Loading must exceed a threshold condition for cracking, often characterized as $K_{IH}$. The rate of any subsequent cracking, $V$, is almost independent of $K_I$. 
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The temperature dependence of cracking is complicated and is described in the schematic diagram depicted in Fig. 1. The maximum value of \( V \) has an apparent Arrhenius behavior and follows

\[
V = A \exp(-Q/RT)
\]

where:
- \( Q \) = activation energy for DHC in kJ/mol,
- \( R \) = gas constant (8.314 kJ/mol K),
- \( T \) = temperature in K, and
- \( A \) = constant.

If the temperature is attained by heating from \( T_1 \), initially \( V \) follows Eq 1, but as the temperature is increased, \( V \) starts to decline at \( T_2 \) and cracking eventually stops at \( T_3 \). On cooling from a high temperature, \( T_4 \), a temperature is reached where cracking will reinitiate, \( T_5 \), and reach a maximum value at \( T_6 \); at lower temperatures \( V \) follows Eq 1.

- The amount of hydrogen present in the material should be sufficient for hydrides to be precipitated at the crack tip.
- The material microstructure may affect \( V \) through its effect on the diffusivity of hydrogen, material strength, and mode of hydride precipitation, for example, through crystallographic texture.
- A sharp decline in DHC is observed at high test temperatures despite the temperature being attained by cooling and sufficient hydrogen being present to form hydrides. In Zr–2.5Nb the upper temperature limit, \( T_0 \), is about 310°C.

In components, time-dependent cracking was discovered during the storage at room temperature of Zr–2.5Nb fuel cladding before irradiation. High residual stresses from welding were an important factor in these fractures. High residual stresses were also responsible for cracking in Zr–2.5Nb pressure tubes. The source of these stresses was either the process used to join the pressure tube to the ends of its fuel channel or from tube straightening. Several examples show that fuel cladding made from Zircaloy is also not immune from DHC. In some Zircaloy nuclear fuel cladding used in boiling-water reactors, hydride cracking was strongly implicated in long splits that allowed substantial leakage of fission products. If the cladding wall is penetrated during operation, for example, by fretting, water from the heat-transport system can enter the fuel cavity where, because of the lower pressure, steam is produced. Much hydrogen is generated because the steam oxidizes the fuel and the inside surface of the cladding, reducing the partial pressure of oxygen and leaving a gas rich in hydrogen. This process is called “oxygen starvation.” At some distance from the primary defect, the gas stream becomes almost pure hydrogen, and with breakdown of the protective oxide layer, copious quantities of hydrogen may be absorbed by the cladding. Sometimes, “sunbursts” of hydride formed on the inside surface. With fuel
expansion during fuel rearrangement, the hydrided cladding was stressed, which led to crack initiation. The cracks grew through-wall, propagated axially, and could be over 1 m long. Brittle regions in “chevron” characterized the fractures, with the crack being longer on the outside surface than the inside surface of the cladding [11]. The lower bounds of the crack velocities were in the range $2.5 \times 10^{-7} - 6.6 \times 10^{-7}$ m/s based on assuming constant growth rates in the time between first detection of the defect and removal of the fuel. The mechanism of cracking appeared to be a form of DHC [8,12], perhaps exacerbated by a continuous additional supply of hydrogen from the steam inside the fuel element [13,14].

A DHC-type of mechanism has been identified as being responsible for radial cracking starting at the outside surface of BWR fuel cladding when power ramped after a high burn-up [15]. Tests on unirradiated cladding demonstrated that the velocity of DHC in the radial direction of the tube obeyed Eq 1, and $V$ was about $10^{-7}$ m/s at $275^\circ$C [16,17]. Cracks in the axial direction, about 20 mm long and close to the end-plugs of Canada Deuterium Uranium (CANDU) fuel, have been detected [18]. The burn-up was very low, 0.4 GWD/t, so the inventory of fission products would be too small to cause stress-corrosion cracking. Several partial radial cracks had also started from the inside surface. The hydrogen concentration was 42 ppm, which may have been picked up from residual moisture in the fuel. The source of the large hoop stress driving the crack was attributed to fuel expansion from the fuel operating well outside its design power, although the cause of this anomaly was not identified.

The two technologically important quantities for DHC are the conditions for crack initiation, $K_{\text{th}}$, and the rate of crack propagation, $V$. The latter was the subject of the first part of this International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) coordinated research program (CRP) on Zr–2.5Nb pressure tube material [19]. As an extension of this CRP, the rate of DHC has been measured in Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding.

Several methods are available to test fuel cladding for DHC. The first such successful testing was obtained using a center-cracked half-tube loaded in tension [20]. To gain insight on the long splits, tubing containing a central axial crack was loaded by a wedge and mandrel—the SPLIT test [13]. The radial crack propagation from the outside surface has been studied by internally pressurizing tubes containing small axial cracks [16,17]. Axial crack propagation has been measured using the pin-loading tension (PLT) technique [21]. This last method was originally developed at Studsvik for fracture toughness evaluation of thin walled tubing [22,23] and was chosen for the CRP program because

- Its loading is similar to that in a compact toughness specimen used for Zr–2.5Nb;
- In a comparison of test methods for fracture toughness of Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding, the PLT technique provided the lowest values of $J_{\text{f}}$ and $dJ/da$, indicating that this technique provides good constraint and limits plasticity at the crack tip [24]; and
- The technique was thought to be amenable to technology transfer.

The IAEAs set up this extension to the CRP with the objective of transferring “know-how” on laboratory practices to the member states who were unfamiliar with DHC testing of fuel cladding using the PLT method. The first objective of the program was to establish a uniform and consistent laboratory practice to determine the DHC velocity in the axial direction of fuel cladding so that a meaningful inter-laboratory comparison of the results could be made. A preliminary evaluation showed that the technology transfer was successful [25]. The data from this first trial are included here for completeness. Using six versions of Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding, the second objective was to examine the effects of microstructure on $V$.

**Experimental Details**

**Test Materials**

The material used for the first trial was standard light water reactor (LWR) Zircaloy-4 cladding from Sandvik Lot 86080 [25]. For comparison with this initial trial, samples from Sandvik Lot 83786 were obtained in the cold-worked (CW) condition. During fabrication the final pilgering imposed 80% CW based on area reduction on a cylindrical mandrel. The dimensions of the tubing are given in Table 1, and the chemical composition is given in Table 2; this material had a slightly higher concentration of oxygen than Lot 86080. The material was tested in the CW condition. Sections of tubing were also heat-treated to simulate that used for pressurized water reactors—CW and stress relieved (CWSR) $480^\circ$ C for 3.5 h—and for BWRs—recrystallized annealed (RXA) $565^\circ$ C for 1.5 h. The initial CW microstructure consisted of elongated grains that were little changed by the stress-relieving treatment but completely recrystallized by
the higher temperature anneal, with grains that were almost equiaxed having a mean diameter of about 3 µm. The basal plane normals were concentrated about 30° from the radial direction; the texture factors, $F$, in the three principal directions—radial, $R$; transverse, $T$; and axial, $A$—are summarized in Table 3. The basal plane normals rotated a small amount from the radial direction toward the transverse direction with heat-treatment. The ultimate tensile strength (UTS) was measured with ring tensile tests; it was reduced by heat-treatment and test temperature, Table 4.

Three other batches of cladding were tested. For the CANDU cladding supplied by Zircatec, the last stage of cold-working was about 90%, and the final stress relieving was 500°C for 8 h. The composition of the Zircaloy-4, Table 2, and the (0002) distribution Table 3, were similar to those of the LWR cladding. The dimensions of the CANDU cladding differ from the LWR cladding having a larger diameter and thinner wall, Table 1. It also has lower strength, Table 4. The clearly defined grains were elongated in the axial direction but almost equiaxed on the transverse-radial section with a mean grain diameter of about 4 µm. For the CANDU cladding supplied by Sandvik, the composition of the Zircaloy-4 is given in Table 2. This CANDU cladding also differs from the LWR cladding having a larger diameter and thinner wall, Table 2, and lower strength, Table 4. The grain size was about 5.8 µm on the transverse-radial section but elongated in the longitudinal direction. For the Atucha cladding, the last stage of cold-working was 57%, and the final stress relieving was 510°C for 8.5 h. The composition of the Zircaloy-4, Table 2, and the (0002) distribution, Table 3, again were similar to those of the LWR cladding. The Atucha cladding had a larger diameter but similar wall thickness as the LWR cladding, Table 1, and lower strength, Table 4. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 86080</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 83786</th>
<th>CANDU Zircatec Lot 226289</th>
<th>CANDU Sandvik Lot 81101</th>
<th>Atucha FAE Lot MA-61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside diameter, $D$ (mm)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall thickness, $t$ (mm)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D/t$</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 86080</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 83786</th>
<th>CANDU Zircatec Lot 226289</th>
<th>CANDU Sandvik Lot 81101</th>
<th>Atucha FAE Lot MA-61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn (wt %)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (wt %)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr (wt %)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O (ppm)</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>1260</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (ppm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (ppm)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (ppm)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (ppm)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 86080</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 83786</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 83786</th>
<th>LWR Sandvik Lot 83786</th>
<th>CANDU Zircatec Lot 226289</th>
<th>CANDU Sandvik Lot 81101</th>
<th>Atucha FAE Lot MA-61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texture Factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_R$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_T$</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_L$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
clearly defined grains were elongated in the axial direction but almost equiaxed on the transverse-radial section, with a mean grain diameter of about 2 μm in the radial direction and 3 μm in the transverse direction.

**Specimen and Fixture Preparation**

After cleaning the cladding, a layer of hydride was deposited on the surfaces electrolytically using 0.1M \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \), a temperature of \( 65 \pm 5 \)°C, and a current density of 1 kA/m². Diffusing the hydrogen into the metal by annealing at 410°C for 24 h added a homogeneous hydrogen concentration of about 200 ppm. The resulting hydrides had their plate normal in the radial direction. The hydrogen concentration was confirmed by analysis using an inert gas fusion technique. For a few specimens the hydrogen was added gaseously at 400°C, with similar results.

The test specimen is shown Fig. 2. The 13 mm long specimen (\( c_A \)) contained diametrally opposite axial notches at both edges with those at one end being sharpened by fatigue at room temperature for a

**FIG. 2—Schematic diagram of test specimen and PLT fixture.**
starting length of $a_A$; the notch at the other end provided an effective specimen length of $b_A$. The notch that was fatigued to a crack was 0.15 mm wide, while the rear notch was 0.5 mm wide. The fatigue pre-cracking was done at 1–5 Hz with starting maximum loads of 200–300 N cycled down to 50 N. The maximum load was gradually reduced to 90–100 N as the crack progressed. The final load was chosen to be lower than the starting load for the DHC test, typically 160 N, so the plastic zone at the crack tip from fatigue did not interfere with DHC. Between 8000 and 50,000 cycles were required to produce a suitable starting crack, about 1.5 mm in length. The crack length was measured either visually on the surface or using potential drop (PD).

The PLT fixture is shown schematically in Fig. 2. Most of the fixtures were made from Nimonic 90, but successful testing has been achieved using fixtures made from carbon steel. The fixture consisted of two halves, which, when placed together, form a cylindrical holder, A. The diameter of the holder allowed it to be inserted into the specimen while maintaining a small gap. The fixture halves were loaded in tension through pins at B and rotated about a pin C at the ends of the cylindrical holder providing similarity to the loading of a compact toughness specimen but on two cracks. Care was taken to line up the pre-cracked notches with the join of the two halves of the fixture.

**Delayed Hydride Cracking Testing**

To start a DHC test, the specimen was heated to and held at a temperature of 50–75°C above the test temperature for 1 h, then cooled with no undercooling to the test temperature. This temperature history encourages cracking and minimizes variation; this sequence represents $T_4$ to below $T_6$ in Fig. 1 rather than $T_1$ to $T_3$ in Fig. 1, where cracking can be difficult. After a short period at constant temperature, at least 30 min, the specimen was loaded to a $K_I$ of about 15 MPa√m. The test history is depicted in Fig. 3. The value of $K_I$ was calculated from Eq 2

$$K_I = [P/(2t \sqrt{W})]f(a/W)$$

(2)

where:

$P$=load (N),

$t$=wall thickness of the cladding (m),

$W$=effective width of specimen (m), being the distance from the load line to the axis of rotation (see Fig. 2),

$a$=effective crack length (m), being the distance from load line to the crack tip (see Fig. 2), and

$f(a/W)$=geometry correction factor.

The value of $f(a/W)$ was determined experimentally from compliance measurements, resulting in
for LWR cladding [26] and
\[
f(a/W) = -0.4759 + 13.185(a/W) + 39.533(a/W)^2 - 23.65(a/W)^3 + 12.135(a/W)^4 - 2.9162(a/W)^5
\]
for CANDU cladding [27].

Cracking was detected either by PD or by displacement equivalent to crack opening. Once the cracks had extended 2–3 mm, the load was removed and the specimen was cooled to room temperature. The crack surfaces were lightly heat-tinted from oxidation, and the ends of the crack could usually be discerned on the fracture surface. An alternative was to briefly fatigue the specimen to mark the end of the DHC. Subsequently, the specimen was broken open, and the fracture surface was examined. A typical pair of fracture surfaces is shown in Fig. 4. In this example the delayed hydride crack is about 3 mm long. Crack growth by DHC, \(a_F - a_0\) (Fig. 4), was estimated on each crack from the average of nine equally spaced measurements; the value for the specimen, \(a_S\), was the average of the values of the two cracks. Often an incubation period, \(t_i\), was required before DHC started; cracking time, \(t_T\), was taken as (time under load—\(t_i\)). Crack velocity, \(V\), in the axial direction of the cladding was \(a_S/t_T\). Scanning electron microscopes were used to examine the fracture surfaces of some specimens to provide details of the fracture mechanism. Cracking was evaluated in the temperature range of 144–302°C.

All the testing and examinations were performed in the ten laboratories listed with the authors’ names.

Results

Fracture Surfaces

The periods of the different fracture modes were not only distinguishable by oxidation, Fig. 4, but the microfractographic features were different. The pre-fatigue region contained typical striations formed at room temperature and subsequently oxidized during DHC testing, Fig. 5. DHC is shown in Fig. 6 as areas of flat fracture corresponding to cracked hydrides, interspersed by curved features that correspond to changes in crack plane or direction. The interface between fatigue and DHC, Fig. 7, or DHC and ductile fracture, Fig. 8, were easily distinguished along A-A. The post-test stage of the fracture surface consisted of ductile fracture formed when the specimen was broken open at room temperature; the large fissures between the areas of ductile dimples are probably fractured circumferential hydrides that are perpendicular to the fracture plane, Fig. 8. Fractographs of the materials in all metallurgical states showed the same features. To date, the DHC striations usually seen in Zr–2.5Nb, Fig. 9(a), have been observed only once, Fig. 9(b). This specimen was CWSR Zircaloy-4 cladding, and the striations were in the high \(K_I\) region, between about 25 and 40 MPa m. This specimen had been subjected to 12 temperature cycles between 248 and 270°C. The cladding made from Zr–2.5Nb was in the CWSR condition and tested at 250°C; the striations were very similar to those observed on the DHC fracture surfaces of Zr–2.5Nb pressure tube materials [19].
Incubation Times

The time before continuous DHC started was very variable in all the materials. At test temperatures below 280°C, some specimens appeared to start cracking immediately on application of the load, whereas others required considerable time before cracking started. Factors contributing to the variability in the start of DHC are the sensitivity of the crack detection system and the variation in the preparation of the starter-crack by fatigue. In all materials except RXA, the incubation time had mean values between 3% and 7% of the test time; thus errors in their determination contributed only a small error to the value of crack velocity. Unlike with Zr–2.5Nb [19], no temperature dependence of the incubation time could be discerned.

Crack Velocities

The initial value of \( K_I \) depends on the load and the crack length after fatigue. The load is well known, but the initial crack length has some variation because it is difficult to measure accurately before the DHC test. For these tests the target for the initial value of \( K_I \) was 15 MPa m\(^{-1}\). In practice it varied between 11.0 and 25.0 MPa m\(^{-1}\), but the mean value for all the tests was 16.4 MPa m\(^{-1}\), close to the target, with a moderate dispersion of 3.0 MPa m\(^{-1}\). Most laboratories obtained seemingly valid results with amounts of crack growth varying from 0.4 to 4.2 mm; the mean value for all the tests on LWR CW and CWSR materials was 2.0 ± 0.86 mm. Consequently the final value of \( K_I \) had a wide range, up to 43 MPa m\(^{-1}\). One expects that \( V \) should be independent of either initial or final \( K_I \) (so long as \( K_{II} \) is exceeded) and therefore crack length, and the results confirm this expectation. For example, Fig. 10 shows the lack of dependence of the crack velocity on amount of crack growth in CWSR material at 250°C.

The temperature dependence of \( V \) for the CW and CWSR materials is depicted in Fig. 11. Below about 280°C the velocity followed Eq 1, with \( Q \) around 55 kJ/mol. Above 280°C, \( V \) was very scattered and declined until cracking was absent at 295°C. (In tests at high temperature where no cracking was observed, the plotted value was obtained by dividing an assumed crack propagation of 0.01 mm by the test
The behavior of the RXA material was quite different—often cracking was reluctant to start, and when it was observed, its rate was very scattered, Fig. 12, making evaluation of any temperature dependence unclear. (Using an upper bound line of the data may indicate similar temperature dependence to that of the other cladding, but such a treatment is difficult to justify with the current large variation.) The number of tests on the non-LWR materials was only sufficient to obtain general trends, Fig. 13. The CANDU Zircatec and Atucha materials had similar values of \( V \) and showed the now-expected drop at high test temperatures. The CANDU Sandvik cladding cracked faster than the other two materials; it only provided a hint of decline at the highest test temperature, 295°C, since \( V \) was similar to that at 250°C.

**Discussion**

For both batches of LWR cladding in the CWSR condition, the values of \( V \) and their temperature dependence were in very good agreement, Fig. 14, showing that the testing technique was under control and reproducible. Compared with CWSR material, the CW material had similar temperature dependence, but slightly higher values of crack velocity (below 275°C the average value was about a factor of 1.5 higher) and maybe a slightly higher temperature for the start of the decline in \( V \), 285 versus 275°C, Fig. 11.

In the usual picture of DHC, after \( K_{IH} \) is exceeded, the crack velocity is almost independent of \( K_I \) and crack length. The crack velocity at 250°C of CWSR material was insensitive to crack length, Fig. 10, and therefore \( K_I \) over a wide range of values. The implication is that \( K_{IH} \) for this material was lower than 11 MPa m, the lowest value tested at which cracking was observed. The results on RXA material at 250°C indicate that the crack velocity was highly \( K_I \)-dependent in this condition, Fig. 15, suggesting that \( K_{IH} \) is large for this material, perhaps as high as 25 MPa m. (In this figure \( K_I \) is taken as (initial value + final value)/2.) This high sensitivity to \( K_I \) also explains the large variation in values of \( V \) obtained in this material and frequent difficulty with initiating cracking.

The mean values of \( V \) for CW and CWSR materials from this study were higher than those from measurements on pressure tubes made from Zircaloy-2 [28,29], Fig. 16, but similar to the few measurements on Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding [16,20,21], suggesting similar temperature dependence, independent of
test direction on the plane perpendicular to the hoop stress, Fig. 17. The variability in values can be attributed to

- Differences in the histories of temperature can contribute large variations in crack velocity through the behavior illustrated in Fig. 1,
The small number of samples tested; the process of DHC is highly variable and even in well-controlled testing, the crack velocity in fuel cladding can vary by a factor of about three (small numbers of specimens may lead to large variations in apparent temperature dependence), and

- Differences in microstructure, including texture, and strength.

In Zr–2.5Nb and Zircaloy-2 pressure tubes, the crack velocity and $K_{\text{IH}}$ are very sensitive to testing direction and cracking plane. This behavior is attributed to crystallographic texture. In pressure tubes in the CW condition, the basal plane normals are strongly oriented in the transverse direction. Cracking in the axial direction driven by a hoop stress is much faster and requires a much lower $K_{\text{IH}}$ than cracking in the circumferential direction driven by an axial stress. The explanation is that hydrides reorient readily from the circumferential orientation to the radial direction with a hoop stress but not with an axial stress because the normal to the basal planes of the zirconium crystals, which are close to the habit planes of hydride, are parallel with the hoop direction and not the axial direction. A radial texture has been proposed to protect Zr–2.5Nb from DHC. In all the fuel cladding tested in this study, the texture was highly radial, Table 3, yet DHC was observed at velocities slightly higher than in pressure tube materials with transverse textures. The threshold tensile stress to start reorienting hydrides in Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding is low—typical values are 120 MPa for CWSR cladding and 70 MPa for RXA cladding.

FIG. 9—Striations observed on (a) CWSR Zr–2.5Nb cladding tested at 250°C and (b) on one specimen of CWSR Zircaloy-4 during DHC with thermal cycles.

FIG. 10—No dependence of $V$ on crack length; CWSR material tested at 250°C. (Data from Ref 25 and this paper.)
FIG. 11—Temperature dependence of V in Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding in the CW and CWSR conditions.

FIG. 12—Temperature independence of V in Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding in the RXA condition.
FIG. 13—Temperature dependence of V in Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding representing CANDU, from Zircatec and Sandvik, and Atucha.

FIG. 14—Comparison of DHC behavior of LWR Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding in the CWSR condition; data for Lot 86080 from Ref 25.
so difficulty in reorienting hydrides does not provide an impediment for DHC in cladding since the tensile stresses at the crack tip exceed the threshold stresses for hydride reorientation.

The value of DHC velocity is dominated by the diffusivity and the solubility limits of hydrogen in the zirconium alloy and to a lesser extent by the strength. Zircaloy-4 is essentially a single-phase alloy, with a distribution of intermetallic particles, and, with little error, the heat-treatments should not affect the diffusivity or solubility limits. Although yield strength would be the preferred over UTS as the strength
variable for fracture analysis, only the latter values were available. Comparing $V$ at a single temperature can be used to evaluate the effect of strength on $V$. A modest correlation ($R^2 = 0.84$) is found between UTS and the mean value of $V$ at 250°C for the current batches of fuel cladding, except the RXA material, Fig. 18. The RXA material was excluded from the linear fit, but it was included in the figure for completeness and to emphasize how its behavior was different from that of the other tubing. The CANDU Sandvik cladding was stronger than the other two non-LWR claddings, and its higher value of $V$ reflects this added strength. Values from Zircaloy-2 pressure tubes are in general agreement with those for fuel cladding, indicating that it is strength rather than texture that is causing the difference in values shown in Fig. 16.

![Comparison of temperature dependence of cracking in axial (this paper) and radial [16] directions in CW Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding.](image17.png)

**FIG. 17**—Comparison of temperature dependence of cracking in axial (this paper) and radial [16] directions in CW Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding.

![Effect of strength on mean DHC velocity in Zircaloy at 250°C.](image18.png)

**FIG. 18**—Effect of strength on mean DHC velocity in Zircaloy at 250°C.
In this study, the fracture surfaces attributed to DHC were clearly distinct from those made by fatigue or ductile rupture. As previously observed with Zircaloy [20,21,28], the fractographic features called striations were absent, with one exception, Fig. 9(b). These observations are in marked contrast to the fractography of Zr–2.5Nb where striations are easily and commonly observed, Fig. 9(a). Striations are bands across most of the width of a specimen, perpendicular to the crack growth direction, consisting of regions of ductile fracture bounding cleavage of hydride. They tend to remain almost a constant width and coplanar as the crack extends. In Zircaloy, the hydrides fracture on different planes along the crack front with ductile fracture between the brittle plates. The size of the brittle areas is variable, so bands of consistent width are not formed. This observation supports the idea that the microstructural features contributing to the difference in behavior of the two materials are probably the fine scale of the grains and the presence of a β-phase in Zr–2.5Nb. The single observation of striations in Zircaloy-4 may be linked to the thermal cycles imposed during the test where each striation corresponded to the stopping and restarting of cracking. The general lack of striations with DHC in Zircaloy in isothermal tests suggests that they are not a fundamental characteristic of DHC.

The decline of DHC at high temperatures has been observed in both Zr–2.5Nb [3,34,35] and Zircaloy [36]. This feature is apparent in each of the cladding materials tested, except for the RXA material where the scatter obscures any temperature dependence. As an example, Fig. 19 is a comparison of the behavior of CW Zr–2.5Nb pressure tube material [34] and Zircaloy–4 fuel cladding in the CW and CWSR conditions. In Zr–2.5Nb the crack velocity starts to deviate from the Arrhenius correlation at about 310°C with no cracking detected at 350°C, while in the current Zircaloy–4 the initial deviation is between 275 and 285°C with no cracking at 300°C.

The rate of DHC can be suppressed when

- The hydrogen concentration is insufficient for hydrides to form at the crack tip (the current specimens contained 200 ppm hydrogen, which is sufficient for hydrides to be present at temperatures up to 360°C even on cooling to the test temperature; thus the observed reduced rate of cracking is not caused by lack of hydride),
- the temperature history prevents hydrides from forming at the crack tip (heating to the test temperatures may cause this situation even if hydrides are present in the metal matrix [37]; in the
current tests the test temperature was always attained by cooling from at least 50°C above the test temperature with no undercooling, so this effect is not the cause of the reduced velocity), and

- $K_{IH}$ is greater than the applied $K_I$. (In Zr–2.5Nb, $K_{IH}$ has little temperature dependence at temperatures below 300°C, but at higher temperatures it increases rapidly [35]. Zircaloy-4 likely behaves in a similar manner, implying that $K_{IH}$ increases to values greater than 16 MPa m above 280°C.) A corollary to this behavior is that $V$ and the crack suppression temperature will appear to depend on $K_I$. Close to the critical temperature, a small amount of evidence supports this conclusion. In Zr–2.5Nb the range of the suppression temperature increased from 280–313 to 328–359°C when $K_I$ was increased from 13 to 17 MPa m [3]. In the current study, at 283°C in one specimen no cracking was detected after 9000 s at a $K_I$ of 15 MPa m, but once $K_I$ was increased by about 7%, the crack progressed but at a much reduced rate based on expectations from an extrapolation of Eq 1.

The maximum value of $V$ in this study was $1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ m/s at 282°C for CWSR material, which is two to six times lower than the rates estimated from splits in LWR cladding [14]. The current results are not suitable for direct application to the behavior of fuel cladding because the material is unirradiated. The added strength generated by irradiation has two consequences: The crack velocity is increased by a factor between three and ten [20,21], easily agreeing with the rates observed in-reactor, and the high temperature decline in velocity is postponed to higher temperatures [35]. Other differences between cladding on an operating fuel element and these laboratory experiments include temperature gradients, which can increase crack velocity and postpone the response of $V$ when the temperature is attained by heating [38,39]. During dry storage of spent fuel, the cladding may reach temperatures of around 400°C. The high temperature limit suggests that failure by DHC should not be possible for several years as the fuel cools toward the temperatures at which cracking may be observed.

Summary

The rate of DHC has been evaluated in Zircaloy-4 fuel cladding in several metallurgical conditions using the PLT technique. At temperatures below about 280°C, the cracking followed an Arrhenius behavior, but at higher temperatures the rate declined with no cracking above 300°C. The main role of microstructure is to control the material strength; the cracking rate increased as the strength increased. The radial texture did not protect the cladding from DHC. In all the cladding, except that in the recrystallized condition, cracking rate was independent of $K_I$, indicating that $K_{IH}$ was below 11 MPa m. In the recrystallized material the cracking rate was highly variable because it depended on $K_I$ within the test range of up to 25 MPa m. The DHC fracture surface consisted of flat broken hydrides, often in arcs, and, with one exception, no striations were observed.
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